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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a connected graph, $n$ the order of $G$, and $f$ (resp. $t$ ) the maximum order of an induced forest (resp. tree) in $G$. We show that $f-t$ is at most $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil$. In the special case where $n$ is of the form $a^{2}+1$ for some even integer $a \geq 4, f-t$ is at most $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil-1$. We also prove that these bounds are tight. In addition, letting $\alpha$ denote the stability number of $G$, we show that $\alpha-t$ is at most $n+1-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil$; this bound is also tight.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study the relationship between three invariants of undirected graphs: the maximum order of an induced forest, the stability number, and the maximum order of an induced tree. Although bounds on invariants such as
these have been studied for a long time by graph theorists, the past few years have seen a surge of interest in the systematic study of linear relations (or other kinds of relations) between graph invariants. We focus our attention on the difference between the maximum order of an induced forest and the maximum order of an induced tree; also, we give an upper bound on this difference, and prove that it is tight. A similar but simpler proof allows us to bound the difference between the stability number and the maximum order of an induced tree. In this case, we also show that the bound is tight. The rest of this section deals with the relevant literature and some definitions. Section contains our results on forests, and Section the results on stable sets. We conclude in Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4.

We now survey published work relevant to the present article. Erdős, Saks, and Sòs [4] addressed the problem of finding maximum induced trees in graphs. In particular, they proved that any graph $G$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges contains an induced tree of order at least $2 n /(m-n+3)$. Zheng and $\mathrm{Lu}[7]$ considered maximum induced forests and proved that in any cubic, connected, and triangle-free graph $G$, there is an induced forest of order at least $n-\lceil n / 3\rceil$ (provided $n$, the order of $G$, is at least 8). Alon, Mubayi, and Thomas [1] investigated the relationship between the order of an induced forest in a connected graph $G$, the stability number of $G$ (denoted by $\alpha$ ), and its maximum degree (denoted by $\Delta$ ). They proved that a connected graph $G$ of order $n$ contains an induced forest of order at least $\alpha+(n-\alpha) /(\Delta-1)^{2}$.

DeLaViña and Waller [3] also studied bounds on the orders of an induced tree and an induced forest, respectively. Among other results, they showed that any connected graph $G$ contains an induced tree of order at least $(\alpha+1) / \gamma$ (where $\gamma$ denotes the domination number of $G$ ) and an induced forest of order at least $g+f_{1}-1$ (where $g$ denotes the girth of $G$ and $f_{1}$ the number of vertices of degree 1 in $G$ ). Recently, Fox, Loh, and Sudakov [5] proved that any connected triangle-free graph $G$ of order $n$ contains an induced tree of order at least $\sqrt{n}$ (note that their result has been improved to about $\sqrt{2 n}$ by Pfender [6]). The authors also discuss the difference between the order of an induced forest and that of an induced tree, showing that the order of a largest guaranteed induced forest in a $K_{r}$-free graph grows in a polynomial fashion while the order of a largest guaranteed induced tree grows in a logarithmic fashion.

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a finite undirected graph, where $V$ is the set of vertices
of $G$ and $E$ its set of edges. The cardinality of $V$ is also called the order of $G$ and will be denoted by $n$. Two vertices $u$ and $v$ are said to be adjacent if $\{u, v\}$ (also denoted by $u v$ or $v u$ ) belongs to $E$; $u$ and $v$ are called the ends of $u v$. A graph $G$ is said to be complete if any two of its vertices are adjacent. For any subset $U$ of $V$, the subgraph of $G$ induced by $U$ is the graph $H=(U, E(U))$, where $E(U)$ consists of those edges of $G$ with both ends in $U$. A clique in $G$ is a complete induced subgraph of $G$.

Given two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$, a simple path (or path) of length $\ell$ between $u$ and $v$ is a sequence $\left(u_{0}=u, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\ell}=v\right)$ of distinct vertices such that $u_{i} u_{i+1}$ is an edge of $G$ for all $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, \ell-1\}$. A cycle $C$ is a sequence $\left(u_{0}, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{\ell-1}\right)$ of distinct vertices such that $u_{i} u_{i+1}$ is an edge of $G$ for all $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, \ell-1\}$ (where the addition is modulo $\ell$ ). We say that $G$ is connected if for any pair $\{u, v\}$ of vertices of $G$, there is a path between $u$ and $v$.

If $G$ is not connected, its vertex set can be partitioned into connected components, i.e., maximal induced subgraphs that are connected. A graph $G$ is a tree if it is connected and has exactly $|V|-1$ edges. A graph $G$ is a forest if every one of its connected components is a tree. A subset $S$ of $V$ is said to be stable if it induces a subgraph with no edges. The stability number of $G$ (denoted by $\alpha(G)$ or $\alpha$ ) is the maximum cardinality of a stable set in $G$. We refer the reader to Bondy and Murty [2] for any concept not defined here.

## 2 FORESTS AND TREES

Let $G$ be an undirected graph and $f$ (resp.t) the maximum order of an induced forest (resp.tree) in $G$. In order to find an upper bound for $f-t$, we must first investigate the relationship between an induced forest $F$ (not necessarily of maximum order) and induced trees in $G$. In what follows, we use $F$ to denote either the induced subgraph of $G$ or the vertex set of that subgraph. The following lemma is useful for bounding the difference between $f$ and $t$. It is actually very similar to the claim proved in the conclusion of the article of Fox, Loh, and Sudakov [5]. The main differences between our lemma and the claim are that we consider a forest instead of a tree and that the complement of the forest includes a single vertex.

Lemma 2.1 Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph and assume that $F=V \backslash\{u\}$ induces a forest for some vertex $u$ of $G$. Then, there exists an induced tree $T$ in $G$ containing $u$ such that its order is at least $1+\left\lceil\frac{|F|}{2}\right\rceil=1+\left\lceil\frac{|V|-1}{2}\right\rceil$.
Proof. Let the components of $F$ be denoted by $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{p}$. Because $G$ is connected, each of the $V_{i}$ (for $i \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$ ) contains at least one neighbour of $u$. In what follows, we call the neighbours of $u$ black vertices; the other vertices in $V \backslash\{u\}$ are white vertices. Let $t_{i}$ be the number of black vertices in $V_{i}$; we denote these vertices by $u_{i 1}, \ldots, u_{i t_{i}}$, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$.

For each component $V_{i}$, we construct a subset of vertices $V_{i}^{\prime}$ as follows. For $j=2, \ldots, t_{i}$, let $e_{i j}$ denote the last edge on the path between $u_{i 1}$ and $u_{i j}$. The removal of $E_{i}=\left\{e_{i 2}, \ldots, e_{i t_{i}}\right\}$ from the subgraph induced by $V_{i}$ produces $t_{i}$ trees denoted respectively by $T_{i 1}, \ldots, T_{i t_{i}}$, each of which containing one black vertex (i.e., $u_{i j}$ belongs to $T_{i j}$ ). Let $X_{i j}$ denote the vertex set of $T_{i j}$. We contract all the edges of all the trees $T_{i j}$. This amounts to creating a new graph $H_{i}$ with $t_{i}$ vertices $v_{i 1}, \ldots, v_{i t_{i}}$, where $v_{i j}$ represents the set $X_{i j}$. In $H_{i}$ there is an edge between $v_{i j}$ and $v_{i k}$ (for some $k \neq j$ ) if and only if $e_{i j}$ has one end in $X_{i k}$ or $e_{i k}$ has one end in $X_{i j}$.

The graph $H_{i}$ is a tree because the contraction operation cannot create any cycle in an acyclic graph. If we consider $\left|X_{i j}\right|$ to be the weight of $v_{i j}$ (for every $j$ ), it is obvious that the sum of the weights of the $v_{i j}$ equals $\left|V_{i}\right|$. The graph $H_{i}$ being bipartite, its vertex set can be partitioned into two stable sets $S_{i 1}$ and $S_{i 2}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\sum_{v_{i j} \in S_{i 1}}\left|X_{i j}\right|$ is at least $\frac{\left|V_{i}\right|}{2}$. We then define $V_{i}^{\prime}$ as $\bigcup_{v_{i j} \in S_{i 1}} X_{i j}$. It follows from our construction that the subgraph of $G$ induced by $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is a forest, each connected component of which contains exactly one black vertex.

Let $T$ be the union of $\{u\}$ and all the $V_{i}^{\prime}$, for $i=1, \ldots, p$. We claim that $T$ induces a tree satisfying the conclusion of the lemma. Indeed, adding vertex $u$ and the edges $u u_{i j}$ (for $i=1, \ldots, p$ and $v_{i j} \in S_{i 1}$ ) to the subgraph induced by the $V_{i}^{\prime}$ produces a connected graph without any cycle, i.e., a tree. Moreover, the choice of $V_{i}^{\prime}$ implies that

$$
|T|=1+\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|V_{i}^{\prime}\right| \geq 1+\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\left|V_{i}\right|}{2}=1+\frac{|V|-1}{2}
$$

Since $|T|$ is an integer, this completes the proof of the lemma.
The construction used in the proof of Lemma 2.1 is illustrated in Figure 1.

Graph $G$ appears in 1.a, vertex $u$ being represented by a square while the vertices of $F$ are the black and white circles. The forest $F$ induced by $V \backslash\{u\}$ has four connected components and the edges with bold lines are those in the sets $E_{i}$. The graphs $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{4}$ are displayed in 1.b along with the respective bipartitions of their vertex sets. The vertices in the sets $S_{11}, \ldots, S_{41}$ are displayed in black while those in the sets $S_{12}, \ldots, S_{42}$ are in grey. The final tree $T$ is displayed in 1.c.
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Figure 1. Illustration of Lemma 2.1.

To prepare for the main ingredient of the proof, Lemma 2.2, we introduce some definitions and a system of inequalities. Let $G$ be a connected graph and $F$ any induced forest in $G$. We let $K$ denote the complement of $F$ (i.e., $V \backslash F$ ). For any pair $\{u, v\}$ of vertices in $K$, we choose a shortest path $\left(P_{u v}\right)$ between $u$ and $v$. Note that this path may contain vertices that are in $F$, since $K$ need not induce a connected graph. For a vertex $w$ in $F$, we denote by $C_{w}$ the connected component of $F$ that contains $w$, and by $S_{w}$ the attachment set of $w$, i.e.,

$$
\left\{u \in K \mid \exists w^{\prime} \text { such that } u w^{\prime} \in E \text { and } w^{\prime} \in C_{w}\right\}
$$

Thus $S_{w}$ is the set of vertices in $K$ that are adjacent to at least one vertex in the component $C_{w}$. For any $u$ in $S_{w}$, we say that $w$ is attached to $u$. For an illustration, consider the graph in Figure 2, where the vertices in $F$ are represented by circles and those in $K=\{a, b, c, d\}$ by squares. $F$ has three connected components. The attachment set of every white (resp. grey, black) vertex is $\{b\}$ (resp. $\{a, c\}$, $\{b, c, d\})$.


Figure 2. Illustration of attachment sets.

For any non empty subset $S$ of $K$, we define $x_{S}$ as the number of vertices $w$ in $F$ verifying $S_{w}=S$. We consider the $x_{S}$ as variables appearing in a system of linear inequalities, the system $(S L I)$, and we also introduce the variable $Z$. We describe two groups of constraints in the system (SLI). The first group contains $|K|$ constraints, each indexed by a vertex in $K$. The constraint corresponding to vertex $u \in K$ is

$$
\sum_{S \text { contains } u} x_{S} \leq Z+2
$$

Note that the left-hand side of this inequality represents the number of vertices $w$ in $F$ that are attached to $u$. The second group contains $|K|(|K|-1) / 2$ constraints, each indexed by a pair of vertices in $K$. The constraint corresponding to the pair $\{u, v\}$ is

$$
\sum_{S \cap P_{u v}=\{u\}} x_{S}+\sum_{S \cap P_{u v}=\{v\}} x_{S} \leq Z .
$$

The left-hand side of this inequality represents the number of vertices $w$ in $F$ that are attached to $u$ but no other vertex of $P_{u v}$ or are attached to $v$ but no other vertex of $\mathrm{P}_{u v}$.

The system (SLI) consists of the two groups of constraints described above and the following constraint, stating that every vertex in $F$ has a unique attachment set.

$$
\sum_{S} x_{S}=|F|
$$

The sum is taken over all non empty subsets $S$ of $K$.

Lemma 2.2 For any connected graph $G$ of order $n$ and any forest $F$ in $G$, if $Z$ satisfies the system (SLI), then the relation $Z \geq 2(|F|-2) /(n+1-|F|)$ holds.

Moreover, if $Z=2(|F|-2) /(n+1-|F|)$ holds and $Z$ satisfies the system (SLI), then every constraint in (SLI) is satisfied at equality.

Proof. We claim that each variable $x_{S}$ appears in at least $|K|=n-|F|$ inequality constraints of (SLI). More precisely, it appears exactly $|S|$ times (one time for each vertex in $S$ ) in an inequality of the first group and at least $|K|-|S|$ times in an inequality of the second group. Indeed, if $u$ is any vertex in $K \backslash S$, we choose a vertex $v$ in $S$ that minimizes the length of $P_{u v}$. Then the variable $x_{S}$ appears in the inequality constraint corresponding to the pair $\{u, v\}$, because the intersection of $S$ and $P_{u v}$ equals $\{v\}$. Hence, for any $u$ in $K \backslash S$, there is at least one constraint in the second group where $x_{S}$ appears.

Thus if we add all the inequality constraints in the first and the second groups, we obtain an inequality whose left-hand side is at least $(n-|F|) \sum_{S} x_{S}$ and right-hand side equals

$$
(n-|F|)(Z+2)+\frac{(n-|F|)(n-|F|-1)}{2} Z .
$$

Since the equality $\sum_{S} x_{S}=|F|$ holds, we obtain

$$
(n-|F|)|F| \leq(n-|F|)(Z+2)+\frac{(n-|F|)(n-|F|-1)}{2} Z
$$

which yields

$$
Z \geq \frac{2(|F|-2)}{n+1-|F|}
$$

The second part of the lemma follows easily from the above derivation.

Theorem 2.3 For any connected graph $G$ of order $n$ and any forest $F$ in $G$, there exists an induced tree in $G$ whose order is at least equal to

$$
\left\lceil\frac{|F|-2}{n+1-|F|}\right\rceil+2
$$

Proof. Let us denote by $Z_{\min }$ the smallest value of $Z$ for which all the constraints of $(S L I)$ are satisfied. Then there is at least one "tight" constraint in which $Z_{\text {min }}$ appears.

1. If this constraint belongs to the first group, there is a vertex $u$ in $K$ such that $Z_{\text {min }}+2$ vertices in $F$ are attached to $u$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a tree in $G$ whose order is at least $1+\left\lceil\left(Z_{\min }+2\right) / 2\right\rceil=2+\left\lceil\left(Z_{\min } / 2\right)\right\rceil$.
2. If this constraint belongs to the second group, there is a pair of vertices $\{u, v\}$ such that $Z_{\min }$ vertices in $F$ are attached to $u$ but no other vertex of $P_{u v}$ or to $v$ but no other vertex of $P_{u v}$. Let $C_{1}$ (resp. $C_{2}$ ) denote the set of vertices in $F$ that are attached to $u$ (resp. $v$ ) but no other vertex of $P_{u v}$. By Lemma 2.1 again, the subgraph induced by $C_{1} \cup\{u\}$ contains a tree $T_{1}$ of order at least $1+\left\lceil\left|C_{1}\right| / 2\right\rceil$ and the subgraph induced by $C_{2} \cup\{v\}$ a tree $T_{2}$ of order at least $1+\left\lceil\left|C_{2}\right| / 2\right\rceil$. By construction there is no edge joining any vertex in $C_{1}$ to any vertex in $P_{u v}$ (except $u$ ) and no edge joining any vertex in $C_{2}$ to any vertex in $P_{u v}$ (except $v$ ). Hence, the union of $T_{1}, T_{2}$, and $P_{u v}$ is an induced tree of order at least $2+\left\lceil\left|C_{1}\right| / 2\right\rceil+\left\lceil\left|C_{2}\right| / 2\right\rceil \geq 2+\left\lceil\left(\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{2}\right|\right) / 2\right\rceil \geq 2+\left\lceil\left|Z_{\text {min }}\right| / 2\right\rceil$.

We conclude that $G$ always contains an induced tree whose order is at least equal to

$$
\left\lceil\frac{Z_{\min }}{2}\right\rceil+2 \geq\left\lceil\frac{|F|-2}{n+1-|F|}\right\rceil+2
$$

where the inequality follows from Lemma 2.2.
Corollary 2.4 The relation $f-t \leq n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil$ holds for any connected graph $G$ of order $n$.

Proof. Assume that $F$ is a forest of maximal order, i.e., of order $f$. The previous theorem implies that

$$
f-t \leq f-\frac{f-2}{n+1-f}-2
$$

and the maximum value of the right-hand side can be derived by studying an equation in $f$. Indeed, the derivative of the right-hand side with respect to $f$ equals

$$
1-\frac{(n-1)}{(n+1-f)^{2}}
$$

The only value of $f$ not exceeding $n$ for which the derivative equals 0 is $n+1-$ $\sqrt{n-1}$, which maximizes the value of $f-(f-2) /(n+1-f)$ since this function is concave in the interval $(0, n)$. Substituting $n+1-\sqrt{n-1}$ for $f$ in $f-(f-2) /(n+$ $1-f)-2$ yields

$$
f-t \leq n-2 \sqrt{n-1}
$$

The corollary follows by observing that $f-t$ is an integer.
Theorem 2.5 Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$, where $n$ is of the form $a^{2}+1$ for some even positive integer $a \geq 4$. Then, we have $f-t \leq n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil-1$.

Proof. Let $b$ denote $n-a-f$ (note that $b$ may be a negative integer). Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f-t & \leq f-\frac{f-2}{n+1-f}-2=n-a-b-\left\lceil\frac{a^{2}-a-b-1}{a+b+1}\right\rceil-2 \\
& =n-a-b-\left\lceil\frac{(a-b-2)(a+b+1)+(b+1)^{2}}{a+b+1}\right\rceil-2 \\
& =n-2 a-\left\lceil\frac{(b+1)^{2}}{a+b+1}\right\rceil .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if $b$ does not equal -1 , the relation $f-t \leq n-2 a-1=n-2 \sqrt{n-1}-1$ holds and the theorem is proved.

Assume that $b$ equals -1 (which implies that $(f-2) /(n+1-f)$ equals $a-1)$. We know from the proof of Theorem 2.3 that $G$ contains a tree of order $\left\lceil Z_{\min } / 2\right\rceil+2$. If $Z_{\min }>2(f-2) /(n-f+1)$ holds, we obtain $\left\lceil Z_{\min } / 2\right\rceil+2>$ $(f-2) /(n-f+1)+2=a+1$, which implies that $G$ contains a tree of order at least $a+2$. Hence $f-t$ is at most $(n-a+1)-(a+2)=n-2 a-1$, i.e., at most $n-2 \sqrt{n-1}-1$.

Finally, assume that $b=-1$ holds and $Z_{\text {min }}$ equals $2(f-2) /(n-f+1)=$ $2(a-1)$. Since $a$ is at least $4, n-f$ is at least 3 . Therefore the second group of inequalities in the system (SLI) is not empty, and by Lemma 2.2, every inequality in both groups is satisfied at equality. Let $\{u, v\}$ be a pair of vertices in $K$ such that $P_{u v} \cap K$ equals $\{u, v\}$. Let $F_{u}$ (resp. $F_{v}$ ) denote the set of vertices in $F$ that are attached to $u$ but not to $v$ (resp. $v$ but not $u$ ), and $F_{u v}$ the set of vertices in $F$ that are attached to $u$ and $v$. Then we have

$$
\left|F_{u}\right|+\left|F_{u v}\right|=Z_{\min }+2, \quad\left|F_{v}\right|+\left|F_{u v}\right|=Z_{\min }+2, \quad\left|F_{u}\right|+\left|F_{v}\right|=Z_{\min }
$$

which implies that $\left|F_{u}\right|=\left|F_{v}\right|=Z_{\text {min }} / 2$ and $\left|F_{u v}\right|=\left(Z_{\text {min }}+4\right) / 2$ hold. By Lemma 2.1 again, $G$ contains an induced tree that includes $u, v,\left\lceil Z_{\min } / 4\right\rceil$ vertices in $F_{u}$, and $\left\lceil Z_{\min } / 4\right\rceil$ vertices in $F_{v}$. Therefore we have

$$
f-t \leq(n-a+1)-\left(2+2\left\lceil\frac{Z_{\min }}{4}\right\rceil\right)=(n-a+1)-\left(2+2\left\lceil\frac{a-1}{2}\right\rceil\right)
$$

Since $a$ is even, the relations

$$
f-t \leq(n-a+1)-(2+a)=n-2 a-1=n-2 \sqrt{n-1}-1
$$

hold. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We now prove that the above bounds are tight. Note that 0 is a trivial lower bound for $f-t$.

Theorem 2.6 Let $n$ be an integer at least equal to 2 . If $n$ is of the form $a^{2}+1$ for some $a$ with a even and $a \geq 4$, there exists a connected graph of order $n$ for which $f-t=n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil-1$ holds. Otherwise, there exists a connected graph of order $n$ for which $f-t=n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil$ holds.

Proof. Let $a$ denote $\lfloor\sqrt{n-1}\rfloor$. We describe a construction assuming that the value of $f$ is known and smaller than $n$; we will give below the precise relation between $f$ and $a$. We define a graph $G$ whose vertex set includes $n-f$ vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-f}$ and the vertices of a forest whose connected components are $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n+1-f}$. The set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-f}\right\}$ induces a clique which is disjoint from the forest, and each component of the forest (i.e., each $P_{i}$ ) is a path. Each vertex of $P_{i}$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n-f)$ is joined by an edge to the vertex $u_{i}$. Each vertex of $P_{n+1-f}$ is joined by an edge to every vertex in $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-f}\right\}$.

We now address the question of the cardinality of the $P_{i}$. Let $q$ denote the largest even integer such that $q(n+1-f) \leq f-2$ holds.

$$
q=2\left\lfloor\frac{f-2}{2(n+1-f)}\right\rfloor
$$

Let $r$ denote $f-2-q(n+1-f)$. By definition of $q, r$ is strictly smaller than $2(n+1-f)$. In the first round, we allocate $q$ vertices to each of $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n-f}$ and $q+2$ vertices to $P_{n+1-f}$. In the second round, we add 2 vertices to $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{\lfloor r / 2\rfloor}$ and the last vertex (if $r$ is odd) to $P_{\lceil r / 2\rceil}$. Let $\left|P_{i}\right|$ denote the order of $P_{i}$ and $s_{i}$ the number of vertices included into $P_{i}$ during the second round. Clearly $\left|P_{n+1-f}\right|-\left|P_{i}\right|$ is at most 2 for any $i=1,2, \ldots, n-f$, and if $\left|P_{1}\right|-\left|P_{2}\right|$ is greater than 0 , every $\left|P_{i}\right|$ for $i=3,4, \ldots, n-f$ is equal to $\left|P_{1}\right|-1$ or $\left|P_{1}\right|-2$.

We now observe that $f$ is the maximum cardinality of a forest in $G$. Indeed, $P_{n+1-f}$ contains at least two vertices and the union of these vertices and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-f}\right\}$ induces a clique in $G$. Since a forest cannot contain more than 2 vertices of a clique, we conclude that a forest in $G$ is of order at most $f$. We now consider the value of $t$. A maximum induced tree in $G$ must be contained in

- the subgraph induced by $P_{n+1-f}, P_{i}$ (for some $i \leq n-f$ ), and $u_{i}$, or
- the subgraph induced by $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}($ for $i<j \leq n-f)$ and $u_{i}$ and $u_{j}$,
- the subgraph induced by $P_{n+1-f}$.

We have $\left|P_{1}\right| \geq\left|P_{2}\right| \geq\left|P_{i}\right| \geq\left|P_{n+1-f}\right|-2$ for any $i$ in $\{3, \ldots, n-f\}$. Thus when $f<n-1$ holds, a maximum induced tree in the subgraph induced by $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is of maximal order among all the induced trees of $G$, and its order equals

$$
\left.\left\lceil\left|P_{1}\right| / 2\right\rceil+\left\lceil\left|P_{2}\right|\right) / 2\right\rceil+2=\left\lceil\left(\left|P_{1}\right|+\left|P_{2}\right|\right) / 2\right\rceil+2=q+\left\lceil\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) / 2\right\rceil+2 .
$$

The first equality holds because at least one of $\left|P_{1}\right|,\left|P_{2}\right|$ is even (if $r$ equals 1 then $\left|P_{2}\right|=q$ is even, and if $r$ is greater than 1 then, $\left|P_{1}\right|=q+2$ is even). When $f=n-1$ holds, the vertex set of $G$ is the union of $P_{n+1-f}, P_{1}$, and $u_{1}$, and the order of its maximum induced tree is given by the same formula as above, since

$$
\left\lceil\left(\left|P_{1}\right|+\left|P_{n+1-f}\right|\right) / 2\right\rceil+1=q+1+\left\lceil\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) / 2\right\rceil+1
$$

Let us define $b$ by the relation $n-1=a^{2}+b$. Recall that $a$ denotes $\lfloor\sqrt{n-1}\rfloor$, so that $b$ is comprised between 0 and $2 a$. One easily verifies that $\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil$ equals $2 a$ when $b=0$ holds, $2 a+1$ when $b$ belongs to $\{1,2, \ldots, a\}$, and $2 a+2$ when $b$ belongs to $\{a+1, a+2, \ldots, 2 a\}$. We first consider the case where $a$ is even.

1. If $0 \leq b \leq a+2$ holds, we choose $f$ to be $n+1-a$. Then $f-2$ equals $a^{2}+b-a$ and $n+1-f$ equals $a$.
(a) If $0 \leq b \leq a-1$ holds, then we have $q=a-2$ and $r=a+b$. If $a \geq 4$ or $b \geq 1$ holds, then we have $s_{1}+s_{2} \geq 3$ and thus $f-t=$ $(n+1-a)-(a-2+2+2)=n-2 a-1$. If $a=2$ and $b=0$ hold, then we have $s_{1}=2$ and $s_{2}=0$ and we obtain $f-t=(n+1-a)-(a-2+1+2)=n-2 a$.
(b) If $b=a$ holds, then we have $q=a$ and $r=s_{1}=s_{2}=0$. Therefore $f-t$ equals $(n+1-a)-(a+2)=n-2 a-1$.
(c) If $b=a+1$ or $b=a+2$ holds, then we have $q=a$ and $r=b-a$, which means that $r$ equals 1 or 2 . Hence $s_{1}$ equals 1 or 2 and $s_{2}$ equals 0 . We obtain $f-t=(n+1-a)-(a+1+2)=n-2 a-2$.
2. If $a \geq 4$ and $a+3 \leq b \leq 2 a$ hold, we choose $f$ to be $n-a$. Then we have $f-2=a^{2}+b+1-a-2, n+1-f=a+1, q=a-2$, and $r=b+1 \geq a+4$. Hence both $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are equal to 2 and we obtain $f-t=(n-a)-(a-2+2+2)=$ $n-2 a-2$.

We conclude that in all subcases, $f-t$ equals $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil$, except when $n-1=a^{2}$ and $a \geq 4$ hold and $a$ is even. In this special case, we have $f-t=n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil-1$.

We now consider the case where $a$ is odd and at least 3 .

1. If $0 \leq b \leq 2$ or $a+1 \leq b \leq 2 a$ holds, we choose $f$ to be $n+1-a$. Then $f-2$ equals $a^{2}+b-a$ and $n+1-f$ equals $a$.
(a) If $b$ equals 0 , then $q=a-1$ and $r=s_{1}=s_{2}=0$ hold. Therefore $f-t$ equals $(n+1-a)-(a-1+2)=n-2 a$.
(b) If $b$ equals 1 or 2 , then $q=a-1$ and $r=b$ hold and thus $s_{1}$ equals 1 or 2 and $s_{2}$ equals 0 . Therefore $f-t$ equals $(n+1-a)-(a-1+1+2)=$ $n-2 a-1$.
(c) If $a+1 \leq b \leq 2 a-1$ holds, then we have $q=a-1$ and $r=b$ and thus $s_{1}=s_{2}=2$. Therefore $f-t=(n+1-a)-(a-1+2+2)=n-2 a-2$ holds.
(d) If $b=2 a$ holds, then we have $q=a+1$ and $r=s_{1}=s_{2}=0$. Therefore $f-t$ equals $(n+1-a)-(a+1+2)=n-2 a-2$.
2. If $3 \leq b \leq a$ holds, we choose $f$ to be $n-a$. Then $f-2$ equals $a^{2}+b-a-1$ and $n+1-f$ equals $a+1$.
(a) If $3 \leq b \leq a-1$ holds, then we have $q=a-3$ and $r=a+b+2$ and thus $s_{1}=s_{2}=2$. Therefore $f-t$ equals $(n-a)-(a-3+2+2)=n-2 a-1$.
(b) If $b=a$, then $q=a-1$ and $r=s_{1}=s_{2}=0$. We obtain $f-t=$ $(n-a)-(a-1+2)=n-2 a-1$.

We conclude that in all subcases, $f-t$ equals $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil$.
To complete the proof, we observe that if $a=1$ holds, $n$ must be comprised between 2 and 4. It is easy to verify that $f-t=n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil=0$ holds for all connected graphs of order $n$ in $\{2,3,4\}$, and the theorem holds in that case also.

An extremal graph with $n=22$ is displayed in Figure 3. In that case, we have $a=\lfloor\sqrt{22-1}\rfloor=4$, and thus $b=n-1-a^{2}=5$ holds. Since $a$ is even and $b$ equals $a+1$, we have $f=n+1-a=19$ and $f-t=n-2 a-2=12$. The subgraph induced by the black vertices is a tree of maximum order (i.e., of order 7).


Figure 3. Extremal graph for $n=22$.

Let $l f$ denote the maximum order of an induced linear forest, i.e., a forest in which every connected component is a path (possibly of length 0 ). We note that "forest" can be replaced by "linear forest" in the previous theorems; indeed the forest introduced at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 2.6 is linear.

Corollary 2.7 Let $n$ be an integer at least equal to 2 . If $n$ is of the form $a^{2}+1$ for some $a$ with $a$ even and $a \geq 4$, the relation $l f-t \leq n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil-1$ holds for any connected graph of order $n$, and this bound is tight. Otherwise, the relation $l f-t \leq n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{n-1}\rceil$ holds for any connected graph of order $n$ and the bound is again tight.

## 3 STABLE SETS AND TREES

In this section, we study the difference between $\alpha$, the stability number of the graph $G$, and $t$, the maximum order of an induced subtree of $G$. We prove theorems similar to those of the previous section, but without proving the equivalent of Lemma 2.2. Indeed, the proof of the following theorem relies on Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 3.1 For any connected graph $G$ of order $n$ and any stable set $A$ of $G$, there exists an induced tree in $G$ whose order is at least equal to

$$
\left\lceil\frac{2(|A|-1)}{n+1-|A|}\right\rceil+2 .
$$

Proof. Let $n^{\prime}$ denote $n+|A|$ and $G^{\prime}$ the graph obtained from $G$ by replacing each $u \in A$ by "twins" joined by an edge and having the same neighbours. In other words, the vertex set of $G^{\prime}$ is $\left\{u_{1} \mid u \notin A\right\} \cup\left\{u_{1}, u_{2} \mid u \in A\right\}$ and its edge set is $\left\{u_{1} u_{2} \mid u \in A\right\} \cup\left\{u_{i} v_{j} \mid u v \in E\right\}$. It is easy to verify that the order of $G^{\prime}$ is $n^{\prime}$ and
the set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2} \mid u \in A\right\}$ induces a forest (denoted by $F^{\prime}$ ) in $G^{\prime}$. By Theorem 2.3 there exists a tree $T^{\prime}$ in $G^{\prime}$ whose order is at least

$$
\left\lceil\frac{\left|F^{\prime}\right|-2}{n^{\prime}+1-\left|F^{\prime}\right|}\right\rceil+2=\left\lceil\frac{2|A|-2}{n+1-|A|}\right\rceil+2 .
$$

But then the set $\left\{u \in V \mid u_{i} \in T^{\prime}\right\}$ induces a tree in $G$ and has the same cardinality as $T^{\prime}$, which proves the theorem.

Corollary 3.2 The relation $\alpha-t \leq n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil+1$ holds for any connected graph $G$ of order $n$.

Proof. Let $A$ be a stable set of maximal cardinality, i.e., of cardinality $\alpha$. Theorem 3.1 implies that

$$
\alpha-t \leq \alpha-\frac{2(\alpha-1)}{n+1-\alpha}-2
$$

The derivative of the right-hand side of this inequality with respect to $\alpha$ is

$$
1-\frac{2 n}{(n+1-\alpha)^{2}}
$$

The only value of $\alpha$ not exceeding $n$ for which the derivative equals 0 is $n+1-\sqrt{2 n}$, which maximizes the value of $\alpha-2(\alpha-1) /(n+1-\alpha)-2$ since this function is concave. Replacing $\alpha$ by $n+1-\sqrt{2 n}$ in $\alpha-2(\alpha-1) /(n+1-\alpha)-2$ yields

$$
\alpha-t \leq n-2 \sqrt{2 n}+1
$$

The corollary follows by observing that $\alpha-t$ is an integer.
We now prove that the above bound is tight.
Theorem 3.3 Let $n$ be an integer at least equal to 2 . There exists a connected graph of order $n$ for which $\alpha-t=n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil+1$ holds.

Proof. We first observe that if $n$ is comprised between 2 and 5 , the star of order $n$ verifies $\alpha-t=-1=n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil+1$. In what follows, we thus assume that $n$ is at least 6 and $\alpha$ at most $n-2$ (the precise value of $\alpha$ will be given below). We now describe the construction of a graph $G=(V, E)$ of order $n$ including a stable set $A$ of cardinality $\alpha$. The complement of $A, V \backslash A=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n-\alpha}\right\}$, is a clique of cardinality $n-\alpha$. The set $A$ is partitioned into $n+1-\alpha$ subsets $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{n-\alpha}, C_{n+1-\alpha}$ such that $\left|C_{n+1-\alpha}\right| \geq\left|C_{1}\right| \geq\left|C_{2}\right| \geq \ldots \geq\left|C_{n-\alpha}\right|$ and $\left|C_{n+1-\alpha}\right|-\left|C_{n-\alpha}\right| \leq 1$. This implies that

$$
\left|C_{n+1-\alpha}\right|=\left\lceil\frac{\alpha}{n+1-\alpha}\right\rceil \text { and }\left|C_{n-\alpha}\right|=\left\lfloor\frac{\alpha}{n+1-\alpha}\right\rfloor .
$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n-\alpha$, there is an edge between $v_{i}$ and each vertex in $C_{i}$. Also, there is an edge between any vertex in $C_{n+1-\alpha}$ and any vertex in the clique $V \backslash A$. We observe that the union of $V \backslash A$ and any singleton $\{u\}$ with $u \in C_{n+1-\alpha}$ induces a clique. Since a stable set of $G$ has at most one member in any clique, its cardinality is at most $|V|-(|V|-|A|+1)+1=\alpha$. We conclude that $\alpha$ is indeed the stability number of $G$. Also $t$ clearly equals $n_{1}+n_{2}+2$, where $n_{i}=\left|C_{i}\right|$ for $i=1,2$.

We define $b$ as $\lfloor\sqrt{2 n}\rfloor$ and $c$ as $2 n-b^{2}$, which implies that $0 \leq c \leq 2 b$ holds and $b$ is at least 2. Let $q$ and $r$ be such that $\alpha=q(n+1-\alpha)+r$ and $0 \leq r<n+1-\alpha$ hold. We observe that $\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}$ equals $2 b$ if $c$ equals $0,2 b+1$ if $1 \leq c \leq b$, and $2 b+2$ if $b+1 \leq c \leq 2 b$. Note also that $b$ and $c$ always have the same parity (i.e., either $b$ and $c$ are both even or they are both odd).

We now consider five cases.

1. If $b$ is even and $c$ equals 0 , we choose the value $n+1-b$ for $\alpha$. Then $n+1-\alpha$ equals $b, q$ equals $b / 2-1$ and $r$ equals 1 . Then $n_{1}+n_{2}+2$ equals $2 q+2=b$ and $\alpha-t$ equals $n-2 b+1$, which is equal to $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil+1$ in this case.
2. If $b$ is even and $2 \leq c \leq b$ holds, we choose the value $n-b$ for $\alpha$. Then $n+1-\alpha$ equals $b+1$ and there are two subcases:

- $q=b / 2-2$ and $r=(c+b) / 2+2$ if $c$ is smaller than $b-2$, and
- $q=b / 2-1$ and $r=(c-b) / 2+1$ if $c$ equals $b-2$ or $b$.

In both cases, $n_{1}+n_{2}+2$ is equal to $b$ and thus $\alpha-t=n-2 b=n-(2 b+1)+1$ is equal to $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil+1$.
3. If $b$ is even and $b+2 \leq c \leq 2 b$ holds, we choose the value $n+1-b$ for $\alpha$. Then $n+1-\alpha$ equals $b$ and there are two subcases again:

- $q=b / 2-1$ and $r=c / 2+1$ if $c$ is smaller than $2 b-2$, and
- $q=b / 2$ and $r=c / 2-b+1$ if $c$ equals $2 b-2$ or $2 b$.

In both cases, $n_{1}+n_{2}+2$ is equal to $b+2$ and thus $\alpha-t=(n+1-b)-(b+2)=$ $n-(2 b+2)+1$ is equal to $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil+1$.
4. If $b$ is odd and $1 \leq c \leq b$ holds, we choose the value $n+1-b$ for $\alpha$. Then $n+1-\alpha$ equals $b$ and there are two subcases:

- $q=(b-3) / 2$ and $r=(c+b) / 2+1$ if $c$ is smaller than $b-2$, and
- $q=(b-1) / 2$ and $r=(c-b) / 2+1$ if $c$ equals $b-2$ or $b$.

In both cases, $n_{1}+n_{2}+2$ is equal to $b+1$ and thus $\alpha-t=(n+1-b)-(b+1)=$ $n-(2 b+1)+1$ is equal to $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil+1$.
5. If $b$ is odd and $b+2 \leq c \leq 2 b-1$ holds, we choose the value $n-b$ for $\alpha$. Then $n+1-\alpha$ equals $b+1$ and there are two subcases:

- $q=(b-3) / 2$ and $r=(c+3) / 2$ if $c$ is smaller than $2 b-1$, and
- $q=(b-1) / 2$ and $r=0$ if $c$ equals $2 b-1$.

In both cases, $n_{1}+n_{2}+2$ is equal to $b+1$ and thus $\alpha-t=(n-b)-(b+1)=$ $n-(2 b+2)+1$ is equal to $n-\lceil 2 \sqrt{2 n}\rceil+1$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
An extremal graph with $n=12$ is displayed in Figure 4. In that case we have $b=4, c=8, \alpha=9$, and $t=6$. The subgraph induced by the black vertices is a tree of maximum order.


Figure 4. Extremal graph for $n=12$.

## 4 CONCLUSION

In this article, we have investigated the difference between the maximum order of an induced forest and that of an induced tree, on one hand, and the difference between the stability number and the maximum order of an induced tree, on the other. In light of the work by Fox, Loh, and Sudakov [5], it would be interesting to extend our results by finding bounds for $f-t$ and $\alpha-t$ in certain families of graphs, for instance triangle-free graphs or, more generally, $K_{r}$-free graphs. Note that the extremal graphs presented in this article contain triangles, and that forbidding triangles will likely make the construction of extremal graphs challenging.
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